

# BOUNDED RATIOS OF PRODUCTS OF PRINCIPAL MINORS OF POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

H. TRACY HALL AND CHARLES R. JOHNSON

**ABSTRACT.** Considered is the multiplicative semigroup of ratios of products of principal minors bounded over all positive definite matrices. A long history of literature identifies various elements of this semigroup, all of which lie in a sub-semigroup generated by Hadamard-Fischer inequalities. Via cone-theoretic techniques and the patterns of nullity among positive semidefinite matrices, a semigroup containing all bounded ratios is given. This allows the complete determination of the semigroup of bounded ratios for 4-by-4 positive definite matrices, whose 46 generators include ratios not implied by Hadamard-Fischer and ratios not bounded by 1. For  $n \geq 5$  it is shown that the containment of semigroups is strict, but a generalization of nullity patterns, of which one example is given, is conjectured to provide a finite determination of all bounded ratios.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  and an index set  $S \subseteq N \equiv \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $A[S]$  is the principal submatrix of  $A$  lying in the rows and columns indicated by  $S$ . Given a collection  $\alpha : \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \subseteq N$  of index sets and exponents  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , let

$$\alpha(A) = \prod_{i=1}^p (\det A[\alpha_i])^{\eta_i}.$$

At times we also abbreviate  $\alpha(A)$  as  $\alpha_1^{\eta_1} \cdots \alpha_p^{\eta_p}$ , omitting any exponents equal to 1. We are interested in understanding which ratios

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha(A)}{\beta(A)}$$

have an upper bound that is independent of  $A$ , provided that  $A$  is positive definite (PD). We call such ratios *bounded*, and *absolutely bounded* if 1 is an upper bound. The Hadamard-Fischer inequality [5] provides a classical family of absolutely bounded ratios in which  $\alpha_1 = S \cup T$  and  $\alpha_2 = S \cap T$ , while  $\beta_1 = S$  and  $\beta_2 = T$ , for any two index sets  $S, T \subseteq N$ , with all exponents 1. This classical family is in fact bounded over any class of invertible matrices with positive principal minors and weakly sign-symmetric non-principal minors [2], and we will call such ratios Koteljanskii ratios [7]. Historical information on determinantal inequalities for PD matrices may be found in ([5], ch. 7). Over real symmetric matrices, bounded ratios are equivalent to multiplicative inequalities on the face volumes of a parallelotope.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A45; Secondary 15A15, 15A48, 05B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Determinantal inequality, bounded ratio, matrix, positive definite, positive semidefinite, principal minor, multiplicative semigroup, cone of inequalities, rank type, nullity type, asymptotic nullity type, matroid, face volume inequality.

A ratio is determined, up to equivalence, by the overall exponent (numerator minus denominator) of each nonempty subset of  $N$ . The exponent of the empty set is chosen to make the sum of exponents 0, and the collection of  $2^n$  exponents is called the *formal logarithm* of  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ , written  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})$ . Formal logarithms span a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$  orthogonal to the all-1's vector  $e$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the set of bounded ratios,  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the set of absolutely bounded ratios, and  $\mathcal{K}_n$  the set of products of positive powers of Koteljanskii ratios. Then, for all  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n$ . These are multiplicative semigroups, and there are corresponding cones  $\log(\mathcal{K}_n) \subseteq \log(\mathcal{A}_n) \subseteq \log(\mathcal{B}_n)$ . All previously known multiplicative determinantal inequalities lie in  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . In [6], necessary conditions for boundedness were given, implicitly defining a semigroup  $\mathcal{E}_n$  with  $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{E}_n$ , and it was shown that  $\mathcal{K}_3 = \mathcal{E}_3$  but  $\mathcal{A}_4 \neq \mathcal{E}_4$ . Here, we define a new semigroup  $\mathcal{D}_n$  by imposing linear inequalities on  $\log(\mathcal{D}_n)$  coming from rank deficient positive semidefinite (PSD) matrices. We show that  $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n \subseteq \mathcal{E}_n$  for all  $n$ . We also show that  $\mathcal{A}_4 \neq \mathcal{B}_4 = \mathcal{D}_4 \neq \mathcal{E}_4$ , and give explicit generators for  $\mathcal{B}_4$ . Going further, we show that  $\mathcal{B}_5 \neq \mathcal{D}_5$ , but we conjecture that for each  $n$  there exists some finite system of linear inequalities, generalizing those coming from rank deficient PSD matrices, that is sufficient to characterize  $\log(\mathcal{B}_n)$ .

## 2. CONE THEORETIC DETERMINATION OF $\mathcal{D}_n$

We call a ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  *homogeneous* if  $\alpha(D)/\beta(D) = 1$  for every PD diagonal matrix  $D$ , and call the set of all such ratios  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . All bounded ratios are homogeneous [6], and  $\log(\mathcal{H}_n)$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$  that is orthogonal to the following set of  $n+1$  vectors: the all-1's vector  $e$  and, for each  $i \in N$ , the vector whose entry  $T$  (as  $T$  ranges over  $T \subseteq N$ ) is 1 whenever  $i \in T$ , and 0 otherwise. A homogeneous ratio is uniquely determined by the exponents of subsets with cardinality at least 2. Since the dimension of  $\log(\mathcal{K}_n)$  is  $2^n - n - 1$ , the cone  $\log(\mathcal{B}_n)$  has full dimension within the subspace  $\log(\mathcal{H}_n)$ .

Let  $M$  be a matrix with  $n$  columns. We define  $\text{nul}(M) \in \mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$ , the *nullity type* of  $M$ , as the vector of null space dimensions for subsets of the columns of  $M$ . (By convention the empty set of columns has nullity 0.) The nullity type of  $M$  also gives the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue for any principal submatrix of  $M^*M$ . For any  $n$  there exist finitely many distinct nullity types (corresponding to representations of labeled matroids on  $n$  elements).

Our first result is that the inner product of the formal logarithm of a ratio with any nullity type gives a necessary condition for boundedness.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  be a homogeneous ratio, let  $M$  be a matrix with  $n$  columns, and define a family of matrices  $A_\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , by*

$$A_\varepsilon = M^*M + \varepsilon I.$$

*Then  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  is bounded over the family  $A_\varepsilon$  if and only if  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s = \log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M)$ , and let  $r$  represent the sum of the exponents in  $\alpha$ , which is also the sum of the exponents in  $\beta$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all nonzero eigenvalues of  $M^*M$  and its principal submatrices, and let  $\lambda_{\min}$  and  $\lambda_{\max}$  denote respectively the minimum and maximum elements of  $\Lambda \cup \{1\}$ , so that  $\lambda_{\min} \leq 1 \leq \lambda_{\max}$ . For any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , the matrix  $A_\varepsilon$  is PD, and the quantity  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(A_\varepsilon)$  can be expressed entirely in terms of the eigenvalues of principal submatrices of  $A_\varepsilon$ . Each of

these eigenvalues is  $\varepsilon$  or lies within the range  $\lambda_{\min} + \varepsilon$  to  $\lambda_{\max} + \varepsilon$ . The total exponent of  $\varepsilon$  in the eigenvalue product is exactly the inner product  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M) = s$ . The contribution of all remaining eigenvalues either to the numerator  $\alpha(A_\varepsilon)$  or to the denominator  $\beta(A_\varepsilon)$  lies within the range  $\lambda_{\min}^{nr}$  to  $(\lambda_{\max} + 1)^{nr}$ . Thus we have

$$\varepsilon^s \left( \frac{\lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + 1} \right)^{nr} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(A_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^s \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} + 1}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)^{nr}.$$

If  $s < 0$  the left inequality implies that  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(A_\varepsilon)$  is unbounded, and if  $s \geq 0$  the right inequality implies that  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(A_\varepsilon)$  is bounded by a constant.  $\square$

Given  $n$ , let  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell$  be a complete list of the nullity types of matrices with  $n$  columns. The *dual nullity* semigroup  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is defined as the set of homogeneous ratios  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  such that  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \nu_i \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

**Corollary 2.** *For all  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ . Moreover, if each extreme ray of  $\log(\mathcal{D}_n)$  represents a bounded ratio, then  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{D}_n$ .*

The dual nullity cone  $\log(\mathcal{D}_n)$  is a finite intersection of half-spaces and thus its set of extreme rays can (in principle, and sometimes in practice) be explicitly calculated. Some nullity types are redundant to this calculation:  $\text{nul}(I)$  is trivial;  $\text{nul}([M_1 | \mathbf{0}]) - \text{nul}(M_1 \oplus I)$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , so one of these may be omitted; and  $\text{nul}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \text{nul}(M_1 \oplus I) + \text{nul}(I \oplus M_2)$ . Given a matrix  $M$  with  $n$  columns, let  $\rho(M)$  be the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$  whose entry for each  $T \subseteq N$  is the rank of the subset  $T$  of the columns of  $M$ . Since  $\text{nul}(M) + \rho(M)$  is the vector  $[|T|]$  of cardinalities, which is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{H}_n$ ,  $\text{nul}(M)$  and  $-\rho(M)$  are interchangeable for the purposes of calculating  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . This fact can be useful computationally when  $M$  has low rank.

*Example 1.* Let  $n = 3$ , and let  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_5$  be the nullity types of the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}_3$  be the intersection of the five sets  $\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in \mathcal{H}_3 : \log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \nu_i \geq 0\}$ . The six extreme rays of  $\log(\mathcal{E}_3)$  are the formal logarithms of the Kotljanskii ratios

$$\frac{\{12\}\emptyset}{\{1\}\{2\}}, \frac{\{13\}\emptyset}{\{1\}\{3\}}, \frac{\{23\}\emptyset}{\{2\}\{3\}}, \frac{\{123\}\{1\}}{\{12\}\{13\}}, \frac{\{123\}\{2\}}{\{12\}\{23\}}, \text{ and } \frac{\{123\}\{3\}}{\{13\}\{23\}}.$$

We thus have  $\mathcal{K}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_3$  and in particular  $\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{K}_3$ , as was shown in [6].

The symmetric group on  $n$  elements acts naturally on  $\mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$  and preserves every set we have defined. Set complementation  $S^c = N \setminus S$  also acts naturally and preserves  $\log(\mathcal{K}_n)$  and  $\log(\mathcal{H}_n)$ . Since  $\frac{S}{\emptyset}(A) = \frac{S^c}{N}(A^{-1})$  by Jacobi's identity [5],  $\log(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $\log(\mathcal{B}_n)$  are also invariant under the action of complementation. To show that  $\log(\mathcal{D}_n)$  is invariant under complementation requires some matroid theory [9]: the complement of a nullity type is equivalent, up to vectors orthogonal to  $\log(\mathcal{H}_n)$ , to the nullity type of the dual matroid.

Theorem 1 generalizes the known set-theoretic necessary conditions ST0, ST1, and ST2.

**Theorem 3.** [6] *For any bounded ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  and any given index set  $S \subseteq N$ , the sum of exponents in  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  for supersets of  $S$  is nonnegative (ST1), and likewise for subsets of  $S$  (ST2). Any ratio satisfying ST1 and ST2 also satisfies homogeneity (ST0).*

We define  $\mathcal{E}_n$  as the set of ratios satisfying the elementary necessary conditions ST1 and ST2 for every  $S \subseteq N$ . These conditions can be restated in terms of nullity types. Given an index set  $S \subseteq N$  with  $|S| \geq 3$ , define  $M_S$  to be the matrix of size  $(n-1) \times n$  that is a permutation of  $[I|e] \oplus I$ , with the  $[I|e]$  summand occurring in the columns of  $S$  and the  $I$  summand occurring in the complement of  $S$ . Then for  $T \subseteq N$ , entry  $T$  of  $\text{nul}(M_S)$  is 1 if  $S \subseteq T$  and 0 otherwise. Given an index set  $S \subseteq N$  with  $|S| \leq n-2$ , define  $M^S$  to be the matrix of size  $1 \times n$  whose entries are 0 in the columns of  $S$  and 1 elsewhere. Then for  $T \subseteq N$ , entry  $T$  of  $\rho(M^S)$  is 0 if  $T \subseteq S$  and 1 otherwise. For example, with  $n = 3$ , the five matrices listed in Example 1 are  $M^\emptyset$ ,  $M^{\{1\}}$ ,  $M^{\{2\}}$ ,  $M^{\{3\}}$ , and  $M_{\{123\}}$ .

**Proposition 4.** *A homogeneous ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  satisfies conditions ST1 and ST2 if and only if  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M_S) \geq 0$  for  $|S| \geq 3$  and  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M^S) \geq 0$  for  $|S| \leq n-2$ .*

*Proof.* The cases  $|S| \leq 1$  for ST1 and  $|S| \geq n-1$  for ST2 are equivalent to the assumed homogeneity. The case ST1 with  $|S| = 2$  will follow from ST2 with  $|S| = n-2$ : for a homogeneous ratio, ST1 is satisfied for  $\{i, j\}$  if and only if ST2 is satisfied for  $\{i, j\}^c$ , as can be seen by partitioning  $2^N$  into four groups depending on the membership of  $i$  and  $j$ , and comparing the total exponents of these groups under homogeneity. For  $|S| \geq 3$ ,  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M_S)$  is nonnegative if and only if  $S$  occurs as a subset in  $\alpha$  with a total exponent at least as great as in  $\beta$ . For  $|S| \leq n-2$ ,  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T (e - \rho(M^S))$  is nonnegative if and only if  $S$  occurs as a superset in  $\alpha$  with a total exponent at least as great as in  $\beta$ . Since  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  is homogeneous and  $\text{nul}(M^S) + \rho(M^S) - e$  is orthogonal to  $\log(\mathcal{H}_n)$ , this is equivalent to  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M^S) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *For all  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_n \subseteq \mathcal{E}_n$ .*

The name  $\mathcal{E}_3$  in Example 1 is now justified, and we have shown, as in [6], that  $\mathcal{K}_3 = \mathcal{E}_3$ . Since the vectors  $\text{nul}(M^S)$  for  $|S| \leq n-2$  and the vectors orthogonal to  $\log(\mathcal{H}_3)$  together span  $\mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$ ,  $\log(\mathcal{B}_n)$  is a pointed cone: no nontrivial ratio is both bounded and bounded away from 0.

### 3. BOUNDING RATIOS NOT IN $\mathcal{K}_n$

Given a ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_n \setminus \mathcal{K}_n$ , it may be difficult to determine whether  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  is bounded. We introduce a technique that can sometimes prove boundedness, starting with a known observation whose first nontrivial case is  $n = 3$ :

**Lemma 6.** [4] *Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n$ -by- $n$  PD matrix with inverse  $B = (b_{ij})$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$*

$$(3.1) \quad 2\sqrt{a_{ii}b_{ii}} + (n-2) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{a_{jj}b_{jj}}.$$

Eliminating a copy of  $i$  on each side, replacing the sum with  $(n-1)$  times the maximum, and using the fact that  $b_{ii} = \frac{\{i\}^c}{N}(A)$ , we conclude:

**Corollary 7.** *For  $n \geq 3$  and  $A$  PD, and for any  $i \in N$ ,*

$$\min_{j \neq i} \left\{ \frac{\{i\} \{i\}^c}{\{j\} \{j\}^c}(A) \right\} \leq (n-1)^2.$$

*Example 2.* In [6] it is shown that  $\mathcal{A}_4 \neq \mathcal{E}_4$  using a permutation of

$$R_1 = \frac{\{124\}\{134\}\{23\}\{1\}\{4\}}{\{12\}\{13\}\{14\}\{24\}\{34\}}.$$

Observe that this ratio is unchanged when indices 2 and 3 are interchanged; we may thus assume without loss of generality that  $\frac{\{1\}\{23\}}{\{2\}\{13\}}(A) \leq \frac{\{1\}\{23\}}{\{3\}\{12\}}(A)$ . By applying Corollary 7 to  $A[\{123\}]$  with  $i = 1$  we then obtain, factoring out a pair of Kotljanskii ratios,

$$R_1 = \frac{\{124\}\{2\}}{\{12\}\{24\}} \cdot \frac{\{134\}\{4\}}{\{14\}\{34\}} \cdot \frac{\{1\}\{23\}}{\{2\}\{13\}} \leq 4,$$

which shows that  $R_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_4$ .

#### 4. THE EXTREME RAYS OF $\log(\mathcal{B}_4)$

Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{23}$  represent the distinct nullity types of column permutations of the following seven matrices:  $M^\emptyset$ ,  $M^{\{1\}}$ ,  $M^{\{12\}}$ ,  $M_{\{123\}}$ ,  $M_{\{1234\}}$ ,

$$M_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } M_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The intersection of  $\mathcal{H}_4$  with the 23 half-spaces  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{16} : x^T \mu_i \geq 0\}$  contains  $\mathcal{D}_4$  and thus  $\mathcal{B}_4$ . There are various software packages available for computing the extreme rays of an intersection of half-spaces in exact arithmetic; in this case the calculation was done with custom-written software, whose results were later verified with the program `lrs` [1] (available at <http://cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~avis/C/lrs.html>). The 46 extreme rays include the 24 extreme rays of  $\log(\mathcal{K}_4)$  (those with  $|S| = |T| = |S \cap T| + 1$ ) and the 6 permutations of  $\log(R_1)$  from Example 2. The ratios corresponding to the remaining 16 extreme rays are permutations of

$$(4.1) \quad R_2 = \frac{\{1234\}\{1234\}\{23\}\{24\}\{34\}\{1\}\emptyset}{\{123\}\{124\}\{134\}\{234\}\{2\}\{3\}\{4\}},$$

$$(4.2) \quad R_3 = \frac{\{1234\}\{23\}\{24\}\{34\}\{1\}\{1\}\emptyset}{\{123\}\{12\}\{13\}\{14\}\{2\}\{3\}\{4\}},$$

and their complements. Since  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are both symmetric in indices 2 and 3, we may make the same assumption as in Example 2 and conclude from Corollary 7 that

$$R_2 = \frac{\{1234\}\{24\}}{\{124\}\{234\}} \cdot \frac{\{1234\}\{13\}}{\{123\}\{134\}} \cdot \frac{\{34\}\emptyset}{\{3\}\{4\}} \cdot \frac{\{1\}\{23\}}{\{2\}\{13\}} \leq 4$$

and

$$R_3 = \frac{\{1234\}\{24\}}{\{124\}\{234\}} \cdot \frac{\{124\}\{1\}}{\{12\}\{14\}} \cdot \frac{\{34\}\emptyset}{\{3\}\{4\}} \cdot \frac{\{1\}\{23\}}{\{2\}\{13\}} \leq 4.$$

Although the proven bound is 4, experimental evidence suggests that the ratios  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are absolutely bounded, which would imply  $\mathcal{K}_4 \neq \mathcal{A}_4$ , and that the correct upper bound for  $R_1$  is  $\frac{27}{16}$ , which value it is known to approach [8]. This is the first known case showing  $\mathcal{A}_n \neq \mathcal{B}_n$  for any  $n$ . It has been shown [3] that bounded ratios on M-matrices or inverse M-matrices are absolutely bounded, and preliminary work shows the same for (invertible) totally nonnegative matrices as far as  $n < 6$ .

The listed nullity types are thus sufficient to define  $\mathcal{D}_4$ , and indeed  $\mathcal{B}_4$ .

**Theorem 8.** *The semigroup  $\mathcal{B}_4$  is generated, up to permutation and complementation, by positive powers of  $\frac{\{12\}\emptyset}{\{1\}\{2\}}$ ,  $\frac{\{123\}\{1\}}{\{12\}\{13\}}$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$ .*

**Theorem 9.** *The sets  $\mathcal{B}_4$  and  $\mathcal{D}_4$  are equal: a ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in \mathcal{H}_4$  is bounded if and only if  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \mu_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 23$ , with  $\mu_i$  as listed above.*

We end the section with an example showing that  $\mathcal{D}_4 \neq \mathcal{E}_4$ . The ratio

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\{1234\}\{134\}\{12\}\{14\}\{23\}\{24\}\{3\}\emptyset}{\{123\}\{124\}\{234\}\{13\}\{34\}\{1\}\{2\}\{4\}}$$

satisfies ST1 and ST2 with respect to every  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . However, the inner product  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{nul}(M_6)$  gives  $-1$ , and  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  is not bounded.

## 5. THE CASE $n = 5$

A ratio in the semigroup  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is bounded near  $\varepsilon = 0$  for any matrix family of the form  $(B + \varepsilon C)^*(B + \varepsilon C)$ , where  $B$  is singular and  $C$  is generic in the sense that  $Cx = By$  and  $Bx = 0$  imply  $x = 0$ . We have shown that for  $n = 4$  such matrix families, whose behavior depends only on the nullity type of  $B$ , are sufficient to determine  $\mathcal{B}_4$ . The following example shows that for  $n \geq 5$ , more general matrix families must be considered.

*Example 3.* Let  $n = 5$  and consider the ratio

$$Q = \frac{\{12345\}\{1345\}\{2345\}\{123\}\{125\}\{34\}\{45\}\{1\}\{2\}\emptyset}{\{1234\}\{1235\}\{145\}\{245\}\{345\}\{12\}\{13\}\{23\}\{4\}\{5\}}.$$

We claim that  $Q \in \mathcal{D}_5$ . Since  $Q$  is self-complementary, it is only necessary to check against matrices of rank up to  $\lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor$ , i.e.  $M$  of size  $2 \times 5$ . Uniformly deleting a specified index element in the sets of  $Q$  yields a product of Kotljanskii ratios in all five cases, which eliminates the case in which  $M$  has a zero column (using homogeneity). The nullity type of  $M$  now depends only on a partition of the columns  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  into parallel classes. Partitions into exactly two blocks give the nullity type of a direct sum and are thus redundant, leaving 37 cases to check.

Now let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix},$$

define  $A_\varepsilon$  as  $P^*P$ , and consider the polynomial  $\det A_\varepsilon[S]$  for some  $S \subseteq N$ . This is a nonnegative function of  $\varepsilon$ , and so for every  $S$  the dominating term for  $\varepsilon$  small must be an even power  $C_S \varepsilon^{2d_S}$  for  $C_S > 0$  and  $d_S$  a nonnegative integer. Call the vector  $[d_S] \in \mathbb{R}^{(2^N)}$  the *asymptotic nullity type* of  $P$ , or  $\text{asn}(P)$ . The fact that  $\log(Q)^T \text{asn}(P) = -1$  means that the ratio  $Q$  is not bounded, and  $\mathcal{B}_5 \neq \mathcal{D}_5$ .

We expect that any one-parameter matrix family making a particular ratio unbounded can be adequately approximated by polynomials in  $\varepsilon$ , and further that the maximum necessary degree of the approximation depends only on  $n$ . We thus expect  $\log(B_n)$  to be a polyhedral cone for all  $n$ , as it is for  $n \leq 4$ .

**Conjecture 1.** *Given any  $n$ , define the asymptotic nullity type  $\text{asn}(P)$  of an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $P$  of polynomials in  $\varepsilon$  as in Example 3. Then there exists a finite list of polynomial matrices  $P_1, \dots, P_\ell$  such that a homogeneous ratio  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  is bounded if and only if  $\log(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^T \text{asn}(P_i) \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. David Avis, *lrs: A revised implementation of the reverse search vertex enumeration algorithm*, Polytopes—combinatorics and computation (Oberwolfach, 1997), DMV Sem., vol. 29, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000, pp. 177–198.
2. David Carlson, *Weakly sign-symmetric matrices and some determinantal inequalities*, Colloq. Math. **17** (1967), 123–129.
3. Shaun Fallat, H. Tracy Hall, and Charles R. Johnson, *Characterization of product inequalities for principal minors of  $m$ -matrices and inverse  $m$ -matrices*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **49** (1998), 451–458.
4. Miroslav Fiedler, *Relations between the diagonal elements of two mutually inverse positive definite matrices*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **14** (89) (1964), 39–51.
5. Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
6. Charles R. Johnson and Wayne W. Barrett, *Determinantal inequalities for positive definite matrices*, Discrete Math. **119** (1993), no. 1-3, 97–106, ARIDAM IV and V (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988/1989).
7. David M. Koteljanskii, *The theory of nonnegative and oscillating matrices*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **27** (1963), 1–8.
8. Peter McNamara, *Ratios of minors of positive semidefinite matrices*, Research Experiences for Undergraduates Program, College of William and Mary (Summer 1997), Advisor: H. Tracy Hall.
9. James G. Oxley, *Matroid theory*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY, PROVO, UT 84602  
*E-mail address:* `h.tracy@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY, WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23185  
*E-mail address:* `crjohnso@math.wm.edu`